UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC n re Application of: Koichi ENDO inial No.: 09/485,583 : February 14, 2000

MAR 0 5 2002

Art Unit: 1617 Confirmation No.: Examiner: K. STILLER Washington, D.C. Atty.'s Docket: ENDO=12 Date: March 5, 2002



THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND

Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir:

THERAPEUTICS OF BONE...

Transmitted herewith is an [] Amendment [XX] Response

- _in the above-identified application. Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- [] No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	Smal	Entity Other		Than a Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Additional Fee	Rate	Additional Fee	
Total		Minus		_	x 9	\$	×18	\$	
Indep.		Minus		=	x42	\$	×84	ş	
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					140	\$	+280	ş	
TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIMS FEE						\$	Total	\$	

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3. If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space. If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col.1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[XX] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within [] First - \$ 55.00

Second - \$200.00 [] \$460.00 [] Third

[] Fourth \$720.00 Other Than Small Entity Response Filed Within

[] First - \$ 110.00

Second - \$ 400.00 *f* 1 - \$ 920.00 [XX] Third

[] Fourth - \$1440.00

[] Less fees (\$) already paid for months extension of time on

[] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$_ ____. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

[] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.

[XX] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ 920.00 is attached.

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR Section 1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR Section 1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR Section 1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Kornbau

Registration No. 25,884

AMK:nmp

Telephone:

F:\,Y\YUAS\ENDO12\Pto\cov1.wpc

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528

(202) 628-5197

In re Application of:

(Noichi ENDO, et al.

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

In response to the Office Action of
September 6, 2001, for which a three month extension of
time to respond is hereby requested, please enter the
following response:

REMARKS

It is noted that the restriction requirement has been made final. Accordingly, claims 8-11 and 15-18 have been examined. The Office Action of September 6, 2001, has been carefully studied. It is believed that all of the claims are allowable, and favorable action is earnestly requested.

Claims 8-11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "The

Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics" in view of Hukkanen

et al. and Moore et al. The Examiner alleges that "The

03/11/2002 MBERHE 00000062 09485583